### RECEIVED **CENTRAL FAX CENTER**

FEB 2 6 2007

## FAX TRANSMISSION

DATE:

February 26, 2007

PTO IDENTIFIER:

Application Number 10/010,942-Conf. #5594

Patent Number

Inventor:

Guriq BASI et al.

MESSAGE TO:

US Patent and Trademark Office

**FAX NUMBER:** 

(571) 273-8300

FROM:

LAHIVE & COCKFIELD, LLP

Amy E. Mandragouras, Esq.

PHONE:

(617) 227-7400

Attorney Dkt. #:

**ELN-002** 

PAGES (Including Cover Sheet): 114

CONTENTS: Fee Transmittal (1 page)

Transmittal (1 page)

Petition for Reconsideration Under 37 CFR 1 703(b) and/or 1 705(c)

Exhibits 1-17

Certificate of Transmission (1 page)

If your receipt of this transmission is in error, please notify this firm immediately by collect call to sender at (617) 227-7400 and send the original transmission to us by return mail at the address below.

This transmission is intended for the sole use of the individual and entity to whom it is addressed, and may contain information that is privileged, confidential and exempt from disclosure under applicable law. You are hereby notified that any dissemination, distribution or duplication of this transmission by someone other than the intended addressee or its designated agent is strictly prohibited.

#### LAHIVE & COCKFIELD, LLP

One Post Office Square, Boston, Massachusetts 02109-2127 Telephone: (617) 227-7400 Facsimile: (617) 742-4214

#### RECEIVED CENTRAL FAX CENTER

## FEB 2 6 2007

PTO/SB/97 (09-04)

PTO/SB/97 (09-04)
Approved for use tricough 07/37/2008 OM8 0651-0031
U. S. Patent and Trademark Office. U. S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
U. S. Patent and Trademark Office. U. S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
Updet the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1895, no persons are required to respond to a collection of information unitigat it deplays a valid OMB control number.

Application No. (if known): 10/010,942

Attorney Docket No.: ELN-002

# Certificate of Transmission under 37 CFR 1.8

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being facsimile transmitted to the United States Patent and Trademark Office.

February 26, 2007 Date

Signature my E <u>Mandragouras, Esq.</u> Typed or printed name of person signing Certificate (617) 227-7400 36.207 Telephone Number Registration Number, if applicable

Each paper must have its own certificate of transmission, or this certificate must Note identify each submitted paper.

Fee Transmittal (1 page) Transmittal (1 page)

Petition for Reconsideration Under 37 CFR 1 705(b) and/or 1 705(c)

Exhibits 1-17

Centified Copy of Phonty

Reply to Missing Pans/

incomplete Application

Reply to Missing Parts under 37 CFR 1 52 or 1 53

Document(s)

#### **AECEIVED** CENTRAL FAX CENTER

T-956 P.03

Certificate of Transmission under

37 CFR1.8

. F-796

## FEB 2 6 2007

PTO/58/21 (09-06)
Approved for use thiough 03/31/2007. OMB 0651-0031
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office, U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, no pursons are required to resp ong to a collection of information unless it displays a valid OMB control number Application Number 10/010.942-Conf. #5594 Filing Date TRANSMITTAL December 6, 2001 First Named Inventor **FORM** Guriq BASI Art Unit 1649 Examiner Name K A. Ballard (to be used for all correspondence after initial filing) Attorney Docket Number ELN-002 Total Number of Pages in This Submission **ENCLOSURES** (Check all that apply) After Allowance Communication x | Fee Transmittal Form Drawing(s) to TC Appeal Communication to Board of Fee Attached Licensing-related Papers Appeals and interferences Appeal Communication to TC Petition Amendment/Reply (Appeal Notice, Brief, Reply Brief) Petition to Convert to a Proprietary information After Final Provisional Application Power of Attorney, Revocation Change of Correspondence Address Status Letter Attidavits/declaration(s) X Other Enclosure(8) (please Identify below) Terminal Disclaimer Extension of Time Request Petition for Reconsideration Under Request for Retund Express Abandonment Request 37 CFR 1.705(b) and/or 1 705(c): Exhibits 1-17; Information Disclosure Statement CD, Number of CD(s)

SIGNATURE OF APPLICANT, AYTORNEY, OR AGENT					
Firm Name	LAHIVE & COCKELELD, LLP				
Signature		2			
Printed name	Amy E. Mandragouras, Esq				
Date	February 26, 2007	Reg. No	36,207		

Landscape Table on CD

Remarks

	i hereby certify that this paper (along with Trademark Office, facsimile no. (571) 27: below Dated. February 26, 2007	n any paper premier to as being attached of 3 8300 av Commissioner for Patents. F O E	enciosed) is being transmitted by facsimile to the Patent and loa 1450, Alexandra, VA 22313-1450 on the date shown  (Anny E Mandragouras, Esq.)
Ľ			

#### REGEIVED CENTRAL FAX CENTER

#### T-956 P:04 F-796

#### FEB 2 6 2007

PYO/\$8/17 (07-05) Approved for use through 01/31/2007 OMB 0651-0032
U.S. Patom and Tredeman Office U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
o a collection of information unless it displays a valid OMB control number Under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 no person are required to respond Complete if Known Effective on 12/08/2004. 10/D10.942-Conf. #5594 ses pursuant to the Consolidated Appropriations Act, 2005 (H.R. 4818) Application Number December 6, 2001 FEE TRANSMITTAL Filing Date Gung BASI First Named Inventor For FY 2006 K. A. Ballard Examiner Name 1649 Applicant claims small entity status See 37 CFR 1 27 Art Unit ELN-002 Attorney Docket No. TOTAL AMOUNT OF PAYMENT 0.00 METHOD OF PAYMENT (check all that apply) Money Order None Other (please identify) Credit Card Check Lanive & Cockfield, LLP Deposit Account Number 12-0080 Deposit Account Name For the above-identified deposit account, the Director is hereby authorized to. (check all that apply) Charge fee(s) indicated below, except for the filing fee Charge fee(s) indicated below Charge any additional fee(s) or underpayments of Credit any overpayments fee(s) under 37 CFR 1 16 and 1 17 FEE CALCULATION 1. BASIC FILING, SEARCH, AND EXAMINATION FEES **EXAMINATION FEES** SEARCH FEES FILING FEES Small Entity Small Enuty Small Entity Feas Paid (\$) Fee (\$) Foo (5) Fee (\$) Fee (\$) Fee (\$) Application Type Fee (\$) 100 200 500 250 300 150 Utility 65 130 100 50 200 100 Design 80 150 160 200 100 300 Plant 300 600 500 250 300 150 Reissuc n 200 100 0 Provisional Small Entity 2. EXCESS CLAIM FEES Fee (\$) Foo (\$) Fee Description 25 50 Each claim over 20 (including Reissues) 200 100 Each independent claim over 3 (including Reissues) 360 180 Multiple dependent claims Multiple Dependent Claims Fee Paid (\$) Total Clapris Extra Claims Foe (\$) Fee Paid (\$) Fee (\$) - 20 = mP = nignest number of total claims ped for, it greater than 20 Extra Claims Fee (\$) Fee Paid (\$) Indep, Claims HP = (righest number of independent claims paid for if greater than 3. 3. APPLICATION SIZE FEE If the specification and drawings exceed 100 sheets of paper (excluding electronically filed sequence or computer listings under 37 CFR 1 52(e)), the application size fee due is \$250 (\$125 for small entity) for each additional 50 sheets or fraction thereof. See 35 U.S.C. 41(a)(1)(G) and 37 CFR 1 16(s). Foo (\$) Fee Paid (\$) Number of each additional 50 or fraction thereof Extra Sheets Total Sheets x (round up to a whole number) x - 100 = /50 Fees Paid (S) 4. OTHER FEE(S) Non-English Specification, \$130 fee (no small entity discount) Other (e.g., late filing surcharge) Petition for Reconsideration Under 37 CFR 1.705(b) Petition for Reconsideration Under 37 CFR 1.705(c) 400.00 200 00 SUBMITTED BY Registration No (617) 227-7400 36,207 Telephone Signature (Auprney/Agent) February 26, 2007 Date Name (PrintType) Amy E Mandragouras, Esq. I nereby centry that this paper (along with any paper-elected to as being strached or enclosed) is being transmitted by fecsimile to the Patent and Trademark Office, facsimile no (571) 273-8300 at Commissions for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450, on the date shown (Amy E Mandiagouras, Esq.) Signatur Dated February 26, 2007

#### IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

RECEIVED
CENTRAL FAX CENTER

In re Patent Application of:

Guriq Basi et al.

FEB 2 6 2007

Application No.: 10/010942

Confirmation No.: 5594

Filed: December 6, 2001

Art Unit: 1649

For: HUMANIZED ANTIBODIES THAT

RECOGNIZE BETA AMYLOID PEPTIDE

Examiner: Ballard, Kimberly A.

MS Petition Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

# REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION OF AN APPLICATION FOR PATENT TERM ADJUSTMENT PURSUANT TO 37 C.F.R. §1.705(b) AND/OR §1.705(c)

#### Dear Sir:

Pursuant to 37 C.F.R. §1.705(b) and/or §1.705(c), Applicants hereby petition for reconsideration of the Application for Patent Term Adjustment filed on August 10, 2006 (hereinafter, "the Application") for the above-referenced patent application. This petition is timely filed within two months of the date of Decision on Application for Patent Term Adjustment dated December 26, 2006 (hereinafter "the Decision"). A copy of the Decision and the Application are filed with this petition as Exhibits 1 and 2.

In the Decision the Petitions Examiner denied Applicants' request for correction of the period of reduction of 107 days for Applicant delay under 37 C.F.R. § 1.704(b) and entry of a new period of Applicant delay of 38 days in connection with Applicants' response to the Notice to File Missing Parts mailed March 1, 2002 (See Decision on Petition, page 1, paragraph 4 to page 2, paragraph 4). The Examiner's denial of the request was on the grounds that Applicants' response to the Notice to File Missing Parts filed by Certificate of Mailing on July 1, 2002 (and date stamped on July 8, 2002) allegedly contained an omission and that the period of 69 days

Senal No. 10/010,942 ELN-002

(beginning July 9, 2002 and ending September 16, 2002 with the filing of a paper correcting the omission) constituted a "failure to engage" pursuant to 37 C.F.R. § 1.704(c)(7).

As indicated by the Notice to Comply with Requirements for Patent Application
Containing Nucleotide Sequence and/or Amino Acid Sequence Disclosures" mailed August 21,
2002, the alleged omission in this case is that Applicants' response of July 1, 2002 was missing a
compliant sequence disk. The Notice indicates that the computer diskette was found to be
"damaged and/or unreadable." (see Exhibit 3)

Applicants respectfully request reconsideration of the Application for Patent Term Adjustment on the grounds that Applicants' response did not in fact contain an "omission" on the part of the Applicants and, further, that the period of 69 days is not a "failure to engage" by Applicants. In particular, Applicants respectfully submit that Applicants filed a fully compliant response, including a fully compliant sequence disk on July 1, 2002. The fact that the disk was subsequently found to be damaged by the USPTO was not due to any lack of due care by Applicants, but instead due to the subsequent handling and treatment of the disk by others. Based on information provided by personnel at the USPTO following inspection of the USPTO file copy of the sequence disk filed on July 1, 2002, it is Applicants' understanding that the sequence disk is visibly damaged in a manner consistent with damage caused by irradiation treatment of the disk by the United States Postal Service. As such, Applicants' reply did not have an omission and the period of adjustment set forth in §1.703 should not have been reduced by 69 days (the number of days beginning on the day after the date of the reply having the alleged omission (i.e., July 9, 2002) and ending on the date a reply correcting the omission was filed (i.e., September 16, 2002)). Evidence in support of Applicants request for reconsideration is set forth below.

Applicants' reply did not contain an omission as the sequence diskette of July 1.
 2002 was fully compliant.

In support of their request for reconsideration, Applicants submit herewith copies of the following documents as evidence that Applicants' reply of July 1, 2002 did not contain an

Senal No 10/010,942 ELN-002

omission and that the sequence disk was compliant and any damage was due to the subsequent handling and treatment by others:

- (1) A true copy of the return postcard dated July 1, 2002, originally filed with the above-referenced sequence disk describing the papers that constituted the filing package and indicating that a "Diskette containing the computer readable form of the Sequence Listing" was enclosed with a Certificate of First Class Mailing and indicating USPTO date-stamp of July 8, 2002 (see Exhibit 4; 1 page);
- (2) A true copy of Applicants' Attorney's file copy of the diskette label affixed to an envelope containing the sequence disk mailed July 1, 2002 and indicating that the sequence disk is dated July 1, 2002 (Exhibit 5);
- (3) A true paper copy of a sequence listing generated from Applicants' Attorney's file copy the sequence diskette mailed on July 1, 2002 (Exhibit 6);
- (4) A Verification Summary Report generated by the USPTO's "Checker" software program confirming that there are no sequence errors in Applicants' Attorney's file copy of the July 1, 2002 sequence listing (Exhibit 7);
- (5) An Affidavit executed by Ms. Micaela Hill affirming that Applicants' Attorney's file copy of the sequence diskette mailed July 1, 2002 is computer-readable and that the paper copy submitted as Exhibit 6 was generated from Applicants' Attorney's file copy of said sequence diskette (Exhibit 8);
- (6) A true paper copy of a sequence listing generated from Assignees' file copy of the sequence diskette mailed on July 1, 2002 (Exhibit 9);
- (7) An Affidavit executed by Ms. Patricia Robinson affirming that Assignees' file copy of the sequence diskette mailed July 1, 2002 is computer-readable and that the paper copy submitted as Exhibit 9 was generated from Assignees' file copy of said sequence diskette (Exhibit 10);
- (8) An Affidavit executed by Debra J. Milasincic, Esq. describing Applicants' Attorney's regular practice in connection with the preparation of sequence listings and sequence diskettes (Exhibit 11);

Serial No. 10/010,942

**ELN-002** 

(9) A true copy of the return postcard dated September 16, 2002, filed in response to the Notice to Comply and describing the papers that constituted the filing package and indicating that a "Diskette containing the computer readable form of the Sequence Listing" was enclosed with a Certificate of First Class Mailing and indicating USPTO date-stamp of September 20